



# SC Annual School Report Card Summary

**AIRPORT HIGH**  
**Lexington 2**  
**Grades: 9-12**      **Enrollment: 1,442**  
**Principal: Frank Jovanelly**  
**Superintendent: Venus J. Holland, Ed. D.**  
**Board Chair: Rick Shull**

## PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD		AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
			General Performance	Closing the Gap		
2010	Average	At-Risk	TBD	TBD	Not Met	N/A
2009	Average	At-Risk	N/A	N/A	Not Met	N/A
2008	Average	Excellent	Gold	Gold	Not Met	N/A

## ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS\*

EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
1	10	23	2	0

\*Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/24/2011. Schools with Students Like Ours are High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

## HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM(HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE(%): SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

	Our High School		High Schools with Students Like Ours	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
Passed 2 subtests (%)	76.1%	76.6%	74.4%	77.3%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	12.5%	13.3%	13.6%	11.4%
Passed no subtests (%)	11.4%	10.1%	12.1%	11.6%

## HSAP PASSAGE RATE (%) BY SPRING 2010

	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Passage Rate	90.4%	89.7%

## ON-TIME GRADUATION RATE




	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of students	410	284
Number of Diplomas	248	197
Rate (%)	60.5%	70.2%

## END OF COURSE TESTS - 2010

% of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	64.5	73.9
English 1	64.9	66.6
Physical Science	59.0	53.4
US History and the Constitution	46.8	41.5
All Tests	57.8	58.5

## NAEP PERFORMANCE\*

\* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.



## SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

**2010 Goal:**  
*By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.*

**2020 Vision:**  
*By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.*

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined  
 NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status

# AIRPORT HIGH [Lexington 2]

## SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
<b>Students (n=1,442)</b>				
Retention rate	3.7%	Down from 4.0%	3.7%	3.7%
Attendance rate	97.9%	Up from 97.3%	95.3%	95.4%
Eligible for gifted and talented	20.7%	Up from 19.2%	11.7%	12.4%
With disabilities other than speech	16.0%	Up from 15.5%	13.1%	12.8%
Older than usual for grade	9.0%	Up from 6.9%	9.7%	9.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	2.4%	Up from 1.5%	1.1%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	22.5%	Up from 14.4%	13.0%	13.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	16.6%	Down from 42.0%	43.6%	50.4%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	29.5%	Down from 30.2%	31.2%	30.4%
Annual dropout rate	3.4%	Down from 4.9%	3.5%	3.1%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.7%	Down from 3.8%	1.1%	2.2%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	843	Down from 945	432	424
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	72.9%	Down from 77.0%	76.8%	78.7%
<b>Teachers (n=83)</b>				
Teachers with advanced degrees	57.8%	Up from 56.5%	59.4%	60.4%
Continuing contract teachers	86.7%	Up from 76.1%	80.4%	76.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	9.5%	Up from 7.7%	5.4%	6.5%
Teachers returning from previous year	83.2%	No Change	87.6%	86.8%
Teacher attendance rate	95.0%	Up from 94.5%	95.1%	95.8%
Average teacher salary*	\$49,523	Up 0.6%	\$47,313	\$47,390
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.7%	Down from 6.0%	2.4%	2.8%
Professional development days/teacher	13.3 days	Down from 16.8 days	10.8 days	10.0 days
<b>School</b>				
Principal's years at school	7.0	Up from 6.0	3.5	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	32.5 to 1	Up from 31.0 to 1	27.0 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.6%	Up from 90.6%	89.1%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$6,930	Up 0.8%	\$7,788	\$7,974
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	63.7%	Up from 63.1%	54.7%	55.4%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	66.4%	No Change	60.1%	60.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	93.8%	Up from 90.2%	95.2%	96.0%
Character development program	Good	Up from Average	Good	Good
% of AYP objectives met	61.9%	Up from 57.1%	73.4%	69.2%

\* Length of contract = 185+ days.

\*\* Prior year audited financial data available.

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2009/2010 school year was framed by the significant budget cuts that affected all schools in South Carolina. Airport High School was faced with cuts in every area, but most importantly, in the area of staffing. Seven and a half teachers were lost along with three classified positions. This called for drastic changes in the instructional program, as well as changes on the operational side. Our Freshman Program was reduced from an eight-period schedule to a seven-period schedule. The Sophomore Team was reduced from three teachers to two. The carpentry program was cut as were numerous elective courses. Schedule changes were restricted with a deadline far sooner than in past years.

Our school community was faced with a bleak picture but resolved to make it work. The commitment was made to pursue our mission regardless of the cuts, resulting in a positive year for our students. Therefore, achievement was high in all three areas of academics, activities, and sports.

Academically, we continued to make progress on developing our pyramid of support with the goal of improving our HSAP passage rate and our graduation rate. This support system is particularly important considering the transient nature of a large part of our student population. While our HSAP score did slip slightly this past year, we have seen increases recently in both areas. This year's senior class will also produce one of the highest SAT averages in school history.

In activities, we were especially proud of our strong student government and the success we had on our annual charity drive. Over \$25,000 was raised for Camp Kemo, as well as support for numerous other community activities. Athletics produced four region championships and 13 of 15 teams made the state playoffs. The football team had the first perfect regular season in school history.

In light of obstacles presented by reductions in funding, our staff responded with the pride of true professionals and stayed the course of making a difference for our students.

Frank Jovanelly, Principal  
Dr. Mitch Yell, School Improvement Chair

## EVALUATION RESULTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	70	197	25
Percent satisfied with learning environment	85.5%	69.5%	92.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.0%	75.8%	88.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	65.2%	79.5%	72.0%

\*Only students at the highest high school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on [www.ed.sc.gov](http://www.ed.sc.gov) and [www.eoc.sc.gov](http://www.eoc.sc.gov) as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

### Abbreviations Key

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample TBD To be determined  
NI Newly Identified CSI Continuing School Improvement CA Corrective Action RP Plan to Restructure R Restructure DELAY School Improvement Status HOLD School Improvement Status